SITE PLAN CP 421 BLOCK 20 COOPER CREEK CEDAR LTD.

A. TENURE IDENTIFICATION

LICENCE NO.:	CP:	BLOCK:	TIMBER MARK:	UTM:	LICENSEE NAME:
FL A30171	421	20	FE5421	499471 E / 5571462 N	Cooper Creek Cedar Ltd.
AREA UNDER TENURE (ha):	MAPSHEET/C	PENING #:	ELEVATION:	LOCATION:	
44.9	82K025/35		785-1000 m	Greyhorse	

B. AREA SUMMARY

					AREA O	F NO PLANN	ED REFORESTATION (ha)	(NPR)				
PERMAN ACCES		ROCK	WATER	SWAMP	OTHER NP	NC>4ha	WILDLIFE TREE RETENTION AREA (HA):	IMMATURE	OTHER (RESERVE)	1	TOTAL NPR AREA	
4.9		-	-	-	-	-	5.3	-	-		10.1	
						NET AREA T	O BE REFORESTED (ha)					
SU	This	SU AREA DESCRIPTION NET AREA TO BE REFORESTED:										
Α	Terra from mod thick Soils Reta	n 35-60%. Siderate (35-4) kness) and si are non-s hin 20-25 st	ber of mode Surface and 65%). Mois rooting dep ensitive. tems per he Conventio	subsoil textiture regime in the is 29-36c extraction as per mal ground k	ure is Silty I s submesic m. Section G -	oam. Soils ar and nutrien: - Silvicultural est methods	and a Clearcut with Reser	ed. Coarse fragn m. Humus form rves silviculture	nent content is a mor (6.5-7.0cm		34.7	
								TOTAL NET ARE	EA TO BE REFORESTED:		34.7	
								TOTAL A	REA UNDER THE PLAN:		44.8	

SOIL DISTURBANCE

SU	Max. Allowable Soil Disturbance (%)	Max. Amount TAS May Exceed MASD Prior to Rehab (%)	Max. Allowable Soil Disturbance For Roadside Work Areas (%)	Maximum Permanent Access Structures (%)	
Α	10.0	5.0	25%	10.9	

LICENCE NO: FL A30171	BLK: 20	CP: 421	Mapsheet: 082K025/35	PAGE: 2 of 10	RUN DATE:

RESULTS AND STRATEGIES

Biodiversity Objectives	2.5.2. Old and Matrice Forest					
, i	.3 - Old and Mature Forest					
Applies: YES						
tite (or Rationale if it does not apply) Lar Thi The pai Rec	HLP Objective 2 – Old & Mature Forests' Adscape Unit K17 Is block falls within ICHmw2 (High Biodiversity emphasis). Fore are targets for Mature + Old. Analysis shows a surplus of Mature + Old in the Landscape Unit / BEC ring as well as Connectivity Corridor. Aduirement for Old Forest is considered to be met through spatially, non-legal Old Growth Management reas (OGMAs). CP 421 does not include any harvest of Old Forest.					
Result or Strategy Description 3.5	.3 - Green-up					
Applies: YES						
How the Result or Strategy Applies to the 'KB ite (or Rationale if it does not apply)	HLP Objective 4 – Green-up' – The proposed cutblock is consistent with FPPR Section 65(2).					
Result or Strategy Description 3.5	.1 - Objectives set by Government for Wildlife and Biodiversity – Landscape Level					
Applies: YES						
	HLP Objective 4 – Green-up'. e proposed cutblock complies with Sections 64 and 65 of the FPPR.					
Cultural Heritage Resources						
Result or Strategy Description 3.7	- Objectives set by Government for Cultural Heritage Resources					
Applies: YES	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
How the Result or Strategy Applies to the dite (or Rationale if it does not apply)	A referral letter dated January 26, 2024 was sent to the appropriate individual(s) and/or group(s).					
The	e First Nations referral/engagement period is ongoing for CP 421.					
Recreation Resources						
Result or Strategy Description 4.3	- Recreation Sites					
Applies: NO						
	e proposed cutblock is not located within a designated Recreational Area or Trail with legal objectives; prefore, managing for Recreation Resources is not applicable.					
Riparian Management						
Result or Strategy Description 3.4	.1 Objectives set by Government for Fish, Water, Wildlife & Biodiversity in Riparian Areas					
Applies: YES						
How the Result or Strategy Applies to the 1) ite (or Rationale if it does not apply) 2)	See Section E.1 for Riparian Management Strategies. See Section F for management strategies related to Temporary Access Structures and Soil Disturbance that should be used during and post-harvest in order to prevent/reduce soil disturbance and sediment delivery.					
oil Objectives						
Result or Strategy Description 3.1	- Objectives set by Government for Soils [FPPR Section 5 and 12.1(1)]					
Applies: YES						
How the Result or Strategy Applies to the lite (or Rationale if it does not apply) 2) 3)	SU A contains non-sensitive soils and soil disturbance will not exceed 10%. Specific measures for mitigating soil disturbance levels are addressed in Section F of this Site Plan. Areas of the block where temporary access structures are required will be rehabilitated. Specific rehabilitation measures are addressed under Section F of this Site Plan. PAS (Permanent Access Structure) exceeds the recommended limit of 7.0% and is estimated at 10.9%. This is due to roads required to access timber beyond as well as the long narrow shape of the block. Areas within the block assigned to roadside work areas will not exceed 25%.					
/isual Objectives						
Result or Strategy Description 3.6	- Visual Quality					
Applies: YES	5					
ren (or Rationale if it does not apply) A v The	tions of CP 421 fall within Modification (M) and Partial Retention (PR) visual landscape units. The nainder of the permit falls within an area that is not visually sensitive. isual Impact Assessment was completed in 2023. Perpoposed CP 421 meets the established Visual Quality Objectives based on the FPPR section 1.1					
ren (or Rationale if it does not apply) A v The	nainder of the permit falls within an area that is not visually sensitive isual Impact Assessment was completed in 2023.					

LICENCE NO: FL A30171	BLK: 20	CP: 421	Mapsheet: 082K025/35	PAGE: 3 of 10	RUN DATE:

Water Management Objectives					
Result or Strategy Description	3.4.4 - Consumptive Use Streams				
Applies:	YES				
How the Result or Strategy Applies to the Site (or Rationale if it does not apply)	'KBHLP Objective 6' – CP421 Block 20 . There are legal points of diversion (POD) downstream of CP 421. Referral letters dated February 20, 2024 were sent to POD licensees with a 30 day response period.				
Wildlife Objectives	See Section E.1 for Riparian Management Strategies.				
•					
Result or Strategy Description	3.3.1 - Objectives set by Government for Wildlife - Species at Risk – Section 7 of the FPPR				
Applies:	YES				
How the Result or Strategy Applies to the Site (or Rationale if it does not apply)	The block is not within a Wildlife Habitat Area. There were no sightings of Species at Risk during field development of this cutblock. No Wildlife Habitat Features were observed during development of this CP.				
Result or Strategy Description	3.5.2 - Objectives set by Government for Wildlife and Biodiversity – Stand Level				
Applies:	YES				
How the Result or Strategy Applies to the Site (or Rationale if it does not apply)	Three internal Wildlife Tree Retention Areas are planned for this block, totalling 5.3 ha . Overall wildlife tree retention percentage for block 20 is approximately 11.8%. Total WTRA for CP 421 is 17.6 ha which constitutes approximately 10.1 % of the gross area of the permit. Wildlife tree retention in CP 421 is consistent with FPPR section 66.				
Describe or Christian Description					
Result or Strategy Description	3.3.2 – Ungulates				
Applies: How the Result or Strategy Applies to the	YES CP 421 overlaps three Ungulate Winter Range management units with specific forest cover requirements				
Site (or Rationale if it does not apply)	which are applied as general wildlife measures.				
	UWR was analyzed using the HLPO Reporting suite.				
	Following harvest of CP 421 the UWR Management Units overlapping CP 421 will meet forest cover requirements for each General Wildlife Measure.				

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Consistency Statement

This block is consistent with the approved 2018 to 2023 Forest Stewardship Plan for Cooper Creek Cedar Ltd – Forest Licence A30171.

This Site Plan is prepared for FL A30171 CP 421 Block 20, in accordance with FRPA Section 10(1), (2) & (3).

Community Watersheds

FSP Section 3.4.3

Not applicable - The proposed block is not located within a Community Watershed.

Enhanced Resource Development Zones

FSP Section 3.2.1

 ${\it `KBHLP\ Objective\ 7-Enhanced\ Resource\ Development\ Zones-Timber'}$

Block 20 is not within an Enhanced Resource Development Zone.

Fire Maintained Ecosystems

FSP Section **3.5.3**

'KBHLP Objective 8 – Fire maintained Ecosystems'. There are no NDT 4 ecosystems in the FDUs under this FSP; therefore the requirement to create a Result/Strategy for this objective does not apply.

Fisheries Sensitive Watersheds

FSP Section 3.4.2

At the time the FSP was developed there were no designated "Fisheries Sensitive Watersheds" in FDUs under this FSP, therefore the requirement to create a Result/Strategy for this objective does not apply.

LICENCE NO: FL A30171	BLK: 20	CP: 421	Mapsheet: 082K025/35	PAGE: 4 of 10	RUN DATE:

Invasive Plants

FSP Section 4.1 - Invasive Plants

The InvasivesBC website was checked on November 8, 2023. The following invasive species were reported in nearby areas to **CP 421** mainly at low elevations along Highway 31: Burdock, Canada thistle, Chicory, Common tansy, Oxeye daisy, Spotted knapweed, Diffuse knapweed, St. Johns wort, King devil hawkweed, Night-flowering catchfly and yellow hawkweed.

Measures to prevent the introduction or spread of invasive plants noted in the FSP include:

- Cleaning equipment before moving from a worksite with existing infestations to a new work site.
- Minimizing soil disturbance during primary forest activities (PFA).
- Reseed exposed mineral soil, resulting from a PFA in the first available fall or spring within 12 months following the soil disturbance. Plan planting of
 cutblocks as soon after harvesting as possible.
- During PFAs minimize soil disturbance by:
 - Harvest on a snowpack, when feasible
 - Random skid to designated skid trails to minimize skidder traffic on the ground
 - Utilize benches for skid trails to minimize side cuts
 - Utilize brush to construct skid trails to reduce contact with the ground
 - Use overhead cable harvesting systems on steep ground
- Where grass seeding is undertaken, CCC will use certified grass seed (Canada common #1 or better grade) from reputable suppliers to ensure
 premium quality free of invasive plant seed, or a seed mix recommended by a MFLNRO range specialist.
- See FSP for additional strategies and practices regarding invasive plants.

Natural Range Barriers

FSP Section - 4.2

Not applicable. There are no range tenures located in the FDUs covered by this FSP.

Timber

FSP Section 3.2 - Timber

As per Sec 12(8) of the FPPR, results or strategies are not required for an objective set by government for timber

Wildlife – Caribou

FSP Section - 3.3 and 3.5.3

'KBHLP Objective 3 – Caribou' was cancelled and replaced by GAR Order #U-4-012 – Mountain Caribou – Southwest Kootenay Planning Unit. This block falls within the Southern Mountain Caribou Matrix Range and Beneficial Management Practices (BMPs) for operating in woodland caribou habitat have been applied to CP 421. BMPs include:

- Caribou Sign and Sightings Staff and contractors are to report any sightings or sign to supervisor.
- 'Silviculture treatments will not result in the conversion of forest cover to pure spruce stands. The intent is to have silviculture practices result in a species composition that existed prior to forest harvest'. A mixed species composition will be planted.

Wildlife – Grizzly Bear Habitat – Connectivity

FSP Section 3.3 and 3.5.3

 ${\it `KBHLP\ Objective\ 5-Grizzly\ Bear\ Habitat\ \&\ Connectivity\ Corridors'}.$

CP 421 falls within Connectivity Corridor. Analysis shows a surplus of Mature + Old in the Landscape Unit / BEC pairing as well as Connectivity Corridor following harvest of CP 421.

STOCKING REQUIREMENTS

SU	NAR (ha)	Standards ID #	Other Performance Standards
Α	34.7	1057474	See Section H - Stocking Requirements

C. MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES & STRATEGIES

C.1 MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES

- Objectives for CP 421 Block 20 include protecting domestic water sources, and maintaining biodiversity, visual and wildlife values.
- Harvest this mature stand of <u>Cw Fd Hw (Lw Ep)</u> for sawlogs, chips and value-added products and manage for a healthy, free growing stand of planted and natural <u>CwFdLwPwHw (Pl Pl Sx)</u> for similar end products.
- <u>Wildlife Tree Retention Area (WTRA):</u> WTRA's are planned for retention, totalling **5.3 ha** in size (11.8% of the block). The reserves shelter mature stand values, wildlife values, and portions of stand structure that are similar to the harvest area.
- <u>Kootenay Boundary Land Use Plan Implementation Strategy (June 1997):</u> This block is located within the designated Landscape Unit K17 High BEO Assignment.

C.2 CONDITIONS THAT MUST EXIST AFTER HARVEST OR TREATMENT TO ACCOMMODATE KNOWN FOREST RESOURCES

C.2a WILDLIFE

Stand Level attributes/ concerns identified:

<u>Ungulate Winter Range:</u> See RESULTS AND STRATEGIES section **3.3.2 - Ungulates**

Migratory Bird Habitat Assessment: Block 20 is within Migratory Bird Risk Rating 4 & 3 polygons (VRI: Age class 4,5,6, height class 3/4, FL (CwHw)). CCCs adopted Migratory Bird Management Strategy's matrix therefore requires:

- 1) The entire Site will be scheduled outside Restricted Period 2 (May 15-Jul 20),OR
- 2) Reserves (RRZ, WTP, other) will be placed on the Rank 4 polygons such that the net area to be harvested or roads built through does not contain any rank 4 polygons (this is BMP S03), OR
- 3) The Rank 4 polygons will be scheduled outside Restricted Period 2, OR
- 4) One or more BMP's with DoP rank 2 (moderate) must be selected from the list of BMPs and applied to the Site.

In the event harvesting takes place within the nesting period BMP PL1 has been implemented on site to reduce the likelihood of incidental take and to conform to CCC's adopted management strategy. PL1 refers to Patch/edge retention designed around "biodiversity anchors". WTRA has been established in areas of more complex structure suitable to nesting.

BMP PL3 and SO4 have been implemented as well. PL3 refers to the appropriate training of forest planners, layout personnel, and forest workers. SO4 refers to operation specific recommended practices when chance encounters of active nests occur.

LIGENGE NOTEL A30171 BLK. 20 GP. 421 Mapsheet, 062K025/35 PAGE: 5 0F10 RUN DATE:	LICENCE NO: FL A30171	BLK: 20	CP: 421	Mapsheet: 082K025/35	PAGE: 5 of 10	RUN DATE:
---	-----------------------	---------	---------	----------------------	---------------	-----------

Wildlife Management Actions Prescribed:

Total Area specified for the retention of wildlife trees: **5.3 ha** (11.8% of gross area)

Wildlife Tree Retention Area (WTRA):

Fd 5 Cw2 Ep1 Hw1 Lw1-90 years - 31.0m - 60%cc - Areas containing, fir and larch snags. Complex vertical structure with clumps of juvenile stands, tall shrubs and deciduous and areas similar to the harvest area. Moderate cover of browse noted: maple, birch and Saskatoon berry. Game trails noted.

WTRA also provides structure values for wildlife, perching and cover values, riparian values and visual values. WTRAs have been established in part, as a best management practice for the reduction of migratory bird incidental take.

C.2c FISHERIES

There are no fish streams within the block.

See Section E.1 for Riparian Management Strategies.

Drainage from the the cutblock area flows down slope toward the Lardeau River.

C.2d WATERSHEDS

See section 3.4.4 (Consumptive Use Streams)

C.2e RECREATION

Not applicable. The proposed cutblock is not located within a designated Recreational Area or Trail with legal objectives.

C.2f BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY

Landscape Unit - K17 (Goat Range): High BEO Assignment.

C.2g VISUAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

See RESULTS AND STRATEGIES section 3.6 (Visual Quality)

C.2h CULTURAL HERITAGE

See RESULTS AND STRATEGIES section 3.7 (Objectives set by Government for Cultural Heritage Resources).

See section E.5 for Archaeological information.

C.2i RANGE

Not applicable. There are no range tenures located in the FDUs covered by this FSP.

C.2j OTHER RESOURCES

Trapping / Guiding:

Trappers or guiding license holders in the area will be identified and notified through the Forest Stewardship Planning process.

Windthrow

Windthrow hazard is **Moderate** for adjacent mature stands. Mature stands surrounding the harvest area are similar to the block with moderately well drained soils. Adjacent stands have been exposed to wind impacts by past openings.

Soils are fine to moderate with 29-36 cm rooting depth.

CONDITIONS NOT APPLICABLE TO THIS SITE PLAN

None identified.

LICENCE NO: FL A30171 BLK: 20	CP: 421	Mapsheet: 082K025/35	PAGE: 6 of 10	RUN DATE:
-------------------------------	---------	----------------------	---------------	-----------

E. MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

E.1 RIPARIAN	E.1 RIPARIAN MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES							
RIPARIAN RESERVE ZONE (RRZ)								
RIPARIAN/ LAKE ID	RIPARIAN/ LAKE CLASS	HARVESTING Y/N		SU XREF	DESCRIPTION OF THE PURPOSE AND EXTENT OF REMOVAL OR MODIFICATION OF TREES AND ANY RELATED FOREST PRACTICES IN RIPARIAN RESERVE ZONE(S)			
Meadow Creek	S2	N		N/A	30m RRZ: Block 20 is located >300m from the stream.			
RIPARIAN MAI	NAGEMENT ZONE	(RMZ)						
ID G Y/N XREF PROT		PROTECTIN FELLING AI	MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES FOR RIPARIAN OR LAKESHORE MANAGEMENT AREAS INCLUDING PROTECTING STREAM BANKS (if there is no RRZ), MAINTAINING SHADE, AND DEBRIS MANAGEMENT. IF FELLING AND/OR YARDING ACROSS STREAMS. INCLUDE EITHER THE RESIDUAL BASAL AREA <u>OR</u> DENSITY FOR RMZ (S) AND LMZ (S).					
Meadow Cree S2	ek N	N/A	20m RMZ:	Block 20 is	located >300m from the stream.			
NON-CLASSIFII	ED (NC) RIPARIAN	AREAS						
RIPARIAN/ SU XREF MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES LAKE ID								
-	-	-						
	<u> </u>							

Section E.1 continued

- 1) Fall and skid timber away from riparian features (streams, NCD's, wetland) where practicable. Any debris entering a riparian feature as a result of harvesting will be removed upon completion of harvesting activities unless it does not obstruct water flow or its removal would cause further damage to the riparian feature. Minimize crossings on watercourse channels to the extent possible.
- 2) Where watercourses are crossed more than once, it is recommended to place a temporary skid bridge (e.g.: logs placed in draw) in order to prevent a potential diversion of flow.
- 3) All machine trails and crossings (NCD) should be fully rehabilitated upon the completion of harvesting or prior to the next freshet. Excess material that could cause redirection of natural drainage patterns should not be left at crossing locations.
- 4) All surface drainage patterns should be maintained and any that are disrupted as a result of harvesting operations should be restored immediately.
- 5) A post-harvest inspection should be completed to assess the amount of logging debris/excess soil within the wetted perimeter of all subtle drainage features. All natural drainage patterns should be maintained and left free of excess debris (slash or soil) that could result in a redirection of seasonal surface runoff/drainage diversion.
- 6) **Basal area retention** levels for the in-block RMZ (within the NAR) are based on windthrow, windfirmness, wildlife habitat, water quality, and operational constraints.

LICENCE NO: FL A30171	BLK: 20	CP: 421	Mapsheet: 082K025/35	PAGE: 7 of 10	RUN DATE:

E.2 FOREST HEALTH MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES							
SU Code Nelson Region DRA Points Relative Comments Risk Factors Risk							
Inoculum potential Disease factors 10 M Guidelines for the Nelson F Intensive deferred treatme should Armillaria become a • Alternative treatments will tolerant and /or moderatel susceptible) may be include Microsite selection should identified. • Hand-pulling (preferred) or	n Section 3.0 of the "Armillaria Root Disease Management Forest Region" (June 1998) indicate that Alternative or ents for root disease management are appropriate for this site, a problem. I include planting a species mixture that includes species ely susceptible to Armillaria (e.g.: Cw, Lw, Pw). Fd (highly led but should be limited to a maximum of 50% of the mix. reflect buffer zones around infected stumps, if they can be or pop-up spacing (alternative) should be considered in the ecome a limiting factor in meeting regeneration or free growing						

Other Forest Health Factors

SU	Code	%	Comments	Current risk to inventory
А	IBD	<5	Symptoms of fir bark beetle attack were noted in the stand. IBD infected fir should be excluded from leave tree selection.	Low
А	DSB	0	Pw is absent or present in minor amounts in the current stand. Plant only rust resistant stock. Expect high incidence of white pine blister rust on any naturally regenerated Pw.	nil
А	DML	<1	Lw mistletoe was noted throughout the block where Lw is present. DML infected trees should be excluded from leave tree selection.	Low

E.3 VEGETATION MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

LIVESTOCK TO BE USED FOR VEGETATION MANAGEMENT:

YES: 🗖 NO: 🗵

See Section H5: BRUSHING / STAND TENDING

E.4 COARSE WOODY DEBRIS (CWD) MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

CWD levels are low (10-12% ground cover). CWD is predominantly composed of 15-40cm diameter stems. Fd Ep Hw Cw are the dominant CWD species.

Manage for **minimum** CWD levels post-harvest in accordance with wildfire mitigation strategies. Post-harvest CWD will consist of non-merchantable existing levels and snags, along with residue and breakage.

(FPPR Section 68): Manage for the minimum of 4 logs per hectare, each being at least 2 metres in length and at least 7.5cm in diameter at one end.

See the SITE PREP section (H3) for additional CWD management strategies.

E.5 ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Archaeological Overview Mapping was not completed over the CP 421 area.

Fraser Bonner of Ursus Heritage Consulting Ltd reviewed the CP and recommended that portions of CP 421 Blocks 2 and 3 be surveyed for Archaeological potential.

A preliminary field review was completed November, 2023 wherein it was determined that three areas within Block 2 were observed and recorded as areas of potential (AOP). It was recommended to avoid these areas or undertake further work under an Archaeological Impact Assessment.

These three areas have been reserved from harvest.

LICENCE NO: FL A30171	BLK: 20	CP: 421	Mapsheet: 082K025/35	PAGE: 8 of 10	RUN DATE:

F. SOIL CONSERVATION

F.1 SITE DISTURBANCE									
			SOIL CHARACTERISTICS						
SU	SOIL COMPACTION	SOIL DISPLACEMENT	SURFACE SOIL EROSION	DEPTH TO UNFAVOURABLE SUBSOIL (cm) MIN(cm) MAX(cm)		TYPE OF UNFAVOURABLE SUBSOIL			
А	High	High	High	48	60	Fragmental (>70% CF)			

F.2 SOIL DISTURBANCE LIMITS

SU A: ARE THERE SENSITIVE SOILS? □YES ☒NO

MAX. PROPORTION OF TOTAL AREA UNDER THE PRESCRIPTION ALLOWED FOR PERMANENT ACCESS STRUCTURES (PAS): 10.9%

Roadside harvesting or temporary landings will be used.

DEACTIVATION OF PERMANENT ACCESS STRUCTURES: Any landings will be deactivated – debris will be piled & burned, water control will be installed around all landings.

SU	MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE SOIL DISTURBANCE WITHIN THE NET AREA TO REFOREST (%)	MAXIMUM EXTENT SOIL DISTURBANCE LIMITS MAY BE TEMPORARILY EXCEEDED TO CONSTRUCT TEMPORARY ACCESS STRUCTURES OR EXCAVATED OR BLADED TRAILS (%)
Α	10%	5%

MASD for Roadside Work Areas: 25%

Maximum soil disturbance levels may be exceeded for short periods of time; however any temporary access structures or excavated or bladed trails will be rehabilitated to the extent necessary to bring the SU net area back into compliance with the specified soil disturbance limits.

See Section F.4 below for description of temporary access structures or excavated or bladed trails, if any.

Avoid harvesting during spring freshet/breakup conditions when soils are moist to reduce soil displacement and compaction.

Steep slopes >35% are present within SU A, see Harvest Plan Map. Cable and ground based harvest methods will be used.

F.3 REHABILITATION TIME FOR TEMPORARY ACCESS STRUCTURES

MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE TIME TO COMPLETE REHAB (MEASURED FROM COMPLETION OF HARVEST): $\underline{\textbf{1 YEAR}}$

LICENCE NO: FL A30171	BLK: 20	CP: 421	Mapsheet: 082K025/35	PAGE: 9 of 10	RUN DATE:

F.4 MAN	F.4 MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES FOR TEMPORARY ACCESS STRUCTURES								
SU	GENERAL LOCATION:	RAL LOCATION: MAX AVERAGE ALLOWABLE HEIGHT OF CUTBANKS (m) CUTBANKS (m)		EQUIPMENT TO BE USED (IF OTHER THAN EXCAVATOR)					
А	Blading or excavating is expected to occur in parts of the unit with moderate to steep slopes.	0.8	0.3	Skidder, cat.					

- SU A : Logging Method:
 - $\circ~$ TU 1: Ground based. Adverse and favorable skidding to roadsides and landings.
 - $\circ \qquad \text{TU 2: \textbf{Cable}. Downhill and uphill yarding to roadside and landings, or winch-assist harvesting.}$

See Section E.1 for stream management strategies.

The following will apply for any excavated/bladed trails that are required:

- Maximum trail width is 4m.
- Actual dimensions of bladed trails may vary depending on topography.
- The amount of bladed trail constructed will be kept to a minimum.

Short sections that become bladed trails where a non-bladed trail crosses a hump or ridge will be exempt from rehabilitation requirements provided that the soil disturbance limits in this SP are not exceeded.

Rehabilitation for bladed or excavated trails:

Any bladed or excavated trails will be rehabilitated as follows:

- De-compact the trail, including removing woody debris that is conducting subsurface moisture
- Place fill material that was sidecast on the excavated portion of the trail
- Re-contour the slope
- Re-establish natural surface drainage
- Place some woody debris over exposed mineral soil

G. SILVICULTURAL SYSTEMS

SILVICULTUR	AL SYSTEMS
SU	SYSTEM / VARIANT / PHASE
А	Clearcut with reserves silviculture system.
SU	STAND STRUCTURE AND SITE CONDITION - COMMENTS
А	Post-harvest stand structure will be even-aged with one age class. Planted trees and natural regeneration will include CWFdLwPwHw (PyPlSx) Wildlife Tree Group Reserve Area (WTRA): totalling 5.3 ha
	Leave Trees Retain 20-25 stems per hectare of Fd and Lw ≥55cm DBH. Retention will provide stand structure, biodiversity, visual and wildlife values. Trees will be left in clumps or individual stems. Retain all Western white pine, deciduous and large diameter vets where operationally feasible.

LICENCE NO: FL A30171	BLK: 20	CP: 421	Mapsheet: 082K025/35	PAGE: 10 of 10	RUN DATE:

H. STOCKING REQUIREMENTS (As per DSE South Columbia Default Stocking Standards Version 1.0, April 1, 2018)

LICENCE #	СР	BLOCK	OPENING NUMBER	LOCATION
A30171	421	20	082K025/35	Greyhorse

H1	ECOLOGICAL INFORMATION									
SU	Net Area		Subzone Variant/ Phase		Variant/ Site Series	Elevation			Slope	Soil
	(ha)			(complex - %)	Min	Max	Avg	•	Texture (0-30cm)	
А	34.7	ICH	mw	2	104 ⁹ 103 ¹	785	1000	893	Mid	SiL

RATIONALE FOR STOCKING STANDARD FSP ID SELECTION

STANDARDS UNIT FSP ID #	CHANGE FROM STANDARD PRACTICE	COMMENT: (For example: Forest health (DRA) or Rocky site)
A: 1057474	N/A	-

H2 STOCKING REQUIREMENTS FOR SILVICULTURAL SYSTEMS OTHER THAN SINGLE TREE SELECTION										
Standard unit	Standards ID	Regen Delay (years)		Free Growin	Free Growing Early (yrs) Free Grow			ng Late (years)		
Α	1057474	7			12			20		
Preferred Species		Acceptable Species		Post Spacing	Post Spacing Density (sph)			Max Coniferous (sph)		
Species	Min FG ht (m)	Species	Min FG ht (m)	Min	700	Max	1800		10,000	
	Fd-1.4, Lw Pw-2.0 Cw- 1.0	PI Hw Py ^{9,14,203} Sx ^{10,13}	PI-2.0, Py,Hw,Sx – 1.0	Well Spaced Trees (stems/ha)						
Cw ^{10,201} Fd ⁵⁸ Lw				Target		mum &acc	Minimum preferred		Min Horizontal Inter- tree distance (m)	
Pw ³¹				1200	70	00	600		2.0*	
							Height Relati	ive to	Competition (%)	
									150	

^{**} Early Free Growing has been left in for information purposes only. In RESULTS it is in the comments section only and does not preclude making FG declarations early.

$\underline{\textbf{Other Required Stocking Information/Footnotes}}:$

- 9- suitable on warm aspects
- 10 suitable on cool aspects
- 13- suitable at upper elevations
- 14 –suitable at lower elevations
- 31 must use of blister rust resistant stock. See BC Journal of Ecosystems and Management 10(1): 97-100 for supplementary information.
- 58 South Area Fd limited to a max 50% of preferred and acceptable well-spaced stems in the IDFmw and all subzones of the ICH due to root rot. See Root Rot Handbook (2017, in press).
- $201-\mbox{Maximum}$ 50% of preferred and acceptable well-spaced trees
- 203- Recommended on sites for climate change adaptation
- * a reduced MITD of 1.7m may be used to facilitate planting superior microsites, when sites have: mechanical site preparation (mounding and disk trenching), been previously fill planted, or conditions where obstacle planting for snow creep is necessary. Reduced MITD applies to PLANTED TREES ONLY

LICENCE NO: FL A30171	BLK: 20	CP: 421	Mapsheet: 082K025/35	PAGE: 11 of 10	RUN DATE:

H3 SITE PREPARATION

TECHNIQUE (S) / LIMITING FACTORS

- Broadcast burn or Spot burn if needed within the NAR to reduce excessive slash cover. Low to Moderate intensity burn, impact rank 2 to 3. Steep slopes may limit mechanical site prep options in many areas.
- Pile, or pile and burn, slash accumulations on roadsides and landings, or within the NAR.
- Piling treatment during dry weather conditions or when soils not saturated.
- Burn piles in the spring or fall.
- Mechanical bunching (pile and burn) where feasible.
- Piles in the NAR may be left unburned in order to contribute to wildlife habitat and coarse woody debris values.
- Mechanical site preparation (e.g.: mounding, scarification) where necessary and feasible, combined with brush/slash piling, utilizing an excavator.

H4 PLANTI	H4 PLANTING								
SU	Area (ha)	Regen. Method	Species	Age	Stock Type	Season	Stems/Ha	Total Stems	
А	34.7	Plant	Cw Fd Lw Pw (SxPIPy)	1+0	PSB 412A	Spring	1400-1600	48,580-55,520	

LIMITING FACTORS / COMMENTS:

- Fd limited to a maximum of 50% of preferred and acceptable well-spaced stems.
- Hw is an acceptable species, and moderate amounts of Hw natural regen exist within certain areas the block. Expect additional natural regeneration.
- Manage for a high diversity of planted trees as a climate change adaptation strategy. Increasing species diversity may help buffer the negative impacts of climate change, and make forests more resilient when faced with extreme weather events. This strategy is meant to reduce the forest health risks to future timber supply by providing a diversity of species should one or more become susceptible to pests or other damaging agents. Replanting these stands with a higher diversity of species, including species that are more adapted to hotter and drier growing conditions like Lw and Fd (Py), will promote a stand that is more likely to tolerate a warming climate.
- Microsite selection for Lw, and Fd (Py) should be concentrated to dry sites, with Cw(Sx) populating draws and cold air exposures.
- Limiting factors include high snowpack persisting late in the season relative to the elevation.
- Plant as soon as possible following harvesting or site prep operations. **Note:** a post-harvest assessment should be completed to assess the necessity of site preparation prior to planting. If site prep is needed, the person completing the assessment will generate a prescription surrounding the areas that are required and the methods to be employed.
- Anticipated Timing/Constraints: Treatment needs will be assessed through periodic walkthroughs and silviculture surveys.

H5 BRUSHING / STAND TENDING

TECHNIQUE (S) / LIMITING FACTORS

<u>Current Brush Hazard</u>: Low brush inside harvest area. In canopy openings created by forest health issues competitive species of brush have established such as maple and birch as well as herbaceous species such as bracken and thimbleberry.

<u>Future Brush Hazard</u>: Moderate overall with potential for higher hazards. Timely crop establishment will be crucial in managing for brush hazard. Deciduous was noted as a component of nearby juvenile stands.

<u>Brushing Methods</u>: Should brushing become necessary, manual treatments are the preferred methods.

<u>Risks and Considerations:</u> Woody brushing or stand tending treatments must be carefully assessed due to pathogen ability to colonize wounds on stocking.

<u>Anticipated Timing</u>: Treatment needs will be assessed through periodic walkthroughs and silviculture surveys. Treatment timing will be prescribed at the time of brush assessment.

LICENCE NO: FL A30171	BLK: 20	CP: 421	Mapsheet: 082K025/35	PAGE: 12 of 10	RUN DATE:

I. ADMINISTRATION

RPF SIGNATURE AND SEAL:	
RPF Name (Printed) I certify that I have reviewed this document and, while I did not personally supervise the work described, I have determined that this work has been done to the standards expected of a member of the Association of British Columbia Forest Professionals. Date:RPF #:	
	RPF Signature and Seal
SITE PLAN PREPARED BY: Tom Haukaas, RFT SITE PLAN ATTACHMENTS:	MAJOR LICENSEE SIGNING AUTHORITY:
☑ SP MAP(S)	Licence Holder Signing Authority Signature Licence Holder Signing Authority Name (Printed)
	Date:

LICENCE NO: FL A30171	BLK: 20	CP: 421	Mapsheet: 082K025/35	PAGE: 13 of 10	RUN DATE:

Area Calculations		Standards Units			
	Α			TOTAL HA	%
HAZARD RATINGS:			<u> </u>		
Compaction	High				
Soil Displacement	High (16-24)				
Surface Erosion	High (27-30)				
Forest Floor Displacement	High (20)				
Mass Wasting	High (43)				
Harvest System	Ground based: 13.5 ha Cable: 22.9 ha				'
TOTAL AREA	44.9			44.9	
			<u> </u>		% WTP/RES
WTRA	5.3			5.3	11.8
NP	-			-	-
OTHER Reserve	-			-	-
					% Disturbance
Proposed roads	4.9			4.9	10.9
Existing roads					
Landings					
Total disturbance permanent access structures	4.9			4.9	10.9
NET AREA TO BE REFORESTED	34.7			34.7	
Sensitive Soils (Y/N)	No				_
Temporary Access Structures: Road, landing, excavated or bladed trails that will be rehabilitated (% of NAR).	5% (excavated/bladed trails)				
Max. Allowable dispersed Soil Disturbance (% of NAR by Standards Unit) as a result of harvesting, mechanical site preparation, or hazard abatement activities.	10%				

Rehabilitation/Deactivation measures:

All landings and trails within the NAR are temporary and will be rehabilitated by decompacting, re-contouring, surface restoration, followed by planting.

Proposed Roads (permanent):

Greyhorse South = 1024m x 20m = 2.05 ha Greyhorse Spur 2 = $1432m \times 20m = 2.86 \text{ ha}$

Existing Roads (permanent):

See Section~F.4~for discussion of rehabilitation of excavated/bladed trails.