

SITE PLAN CP 409 BLOCK 16
COOPER CREEK CEDAR LTD.

A. TENURE IDENTIFICATION

LICENCE NO.: FL A30171	CP: 409	BLOCK: 16	TIMBER MARK: FE5409	UTM: 495900 E, 5574200 N	LICENSEE NAME: Cooper Creek Cedar Ltd.
AREA UNDER TENURE (ha): 18.4	MAPSHEET/OPENING #: 82K035	ELEVATION: 925-1125m	LOCATION: Deception Creek		

B. AREA SUMMARY

AREA OF NO PLANNED REFORESTATION (ha) (NPR)									
PERMANENT ACCESS	ROCK	WATER	SWAMP	OTHER NP	NC>4ha	WILDLIFE TREE RETENTION AREA (HA):	IMMATURE	OTHER	TOTAL NPR AREA
0.8	-	-	-	-	-	4.3	-	-	5.1
NET AREA TO BE REFORESTED (ha)									
SU	SU AREA DESCRIPTION								NET AREA TO BE REFORESTED:
	The block is located in the Meadow Creek Watershed.								
A	<p>ICH wk1 05_a01₂</p> <p>Aspect is mainly east-northeast facing, slopes range from 22 to 60% with an average of 40%. The elevation of SU A is 925-1070m. Surface and subsoil texture is Silty-Loam (SiL). Soils are moderately well drained. Coarse fragment content is low-moderate (10-40%). Moisture regime is mesic and nutrient regime is medium-rich. Humus form is a mor (5.0cm thickness) and rooting depth is 30cm. Soils are sensitive.</p> <p>Average stand density (all species) is 560 stems/ha. Most stems fall within the 20-60cm DBH classes, with <6% of stems in the 65-125cm DBH classes. Approximate species densities are Cw 395 stems/ha, Hw 150 stems/ha, and Sx 15 stems/ha. Stand age ranges from 50-225 with an average of 138 years old. The understory contains low - moderate densities of Hw and Cw regen, saplings and poles that are mainly in poor (suppressed) condition unless in small canopy openings.</p> <p>Conventional Ground Based harvest methods and a clearcut silviculture system.</p>								11.0
B	<p>ICHwk1 01</p> <p>Aspect is mainly east-northeast facing with slopes ranging from 10 to 25% with an average of 15%. The elevation of SU B is from 925-975m. Surface soil texture is Silt to Silty Loam (Si-SiL) and subsoil texture is Silty-Loam (SiL). Soils are moderately well drained. Coarse fragment content is low (15-25%). Moisture regime is mesic and nutrient regime is medium. Humus form is a mor (13.0cm thickness) and rooting depth is 28cm. Soils are non-sensitive.</p> <p>Average stand density (all species) is similar to SU A.</p> <p>Conventional Ground Based harvest methods and a clearcut silviculture system</p>								2.3
TOTAL NET AREA TO BE REFORESTED:									13.3
TOTAL AREA UNDER THE PLAN:									18.4

SOIL DISTURBANCE

SU	Max. Allowable Soil Disturbance (%)	Max. Amount TAS May Exceed MASD Prior to Rehab (%)	Max. Allowable Soil Disturbance For Roadside Work Areas (%)	Maximum Permanent Access Structures (%)
A	5.0	5.0	25%	4.3
B	10.0	5.0		

SU	CRITICAL SITE CONDITIONS THAT AFFECT THE TIMING OF OPERATIONS AND HOW THEY AFFECT THEM
A	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Avoid machine travel during periods of soil saturation to reduce risk of soil compaction. Utilize designated harvesting trails, or a supporting snow pack in the winter.Spot piling along roadsides, landings and within the NAR may be necessary to remedy high levels of coarse woody debris.

RESULTS AND STRATEGIES

Biodiversity Objectives	
Result or Strategy Description	3.5.3 - Old and Mature Forest
Applies:	YES
How the Result or Strategy Applies to the Site (or Rationale if it does not apply)	<p>'KBHLP Objective 2 – Old & Mature Forests'</p> <p>For the purposes of biodiversity analysis older (1994) BEC mapping is used and this block falls in ICHmw2, and within Connectivity Corridor.</p> <p>Landscape Unit K17.</p> <p>For the ICHmw2, there is a Mature + Old forest requirement in this landscape unit.</p> <p>Analysis completed by Timberland (April, 2019) shows that post-harvest there will be a surplus of Mature + Old within the Landscape Unit ICHmw2 as a whole, and also within connectivity corridor.</p>
Result or Strategy Description	3.5.3 - Green-up
Applies:	YES
How the Result or Strategy Applies to the Site (or Rationale if it does not apply)	<p>'KBHLP Objective 4 – Green-up' – The proposed cutblock is consistent with FPPR Section 65(2).</p>

Result or Strategy Description	3.5.1 - Objectives set by Government for Wildlife and Biodiversity – Landscape Level
Applies:	YES
How the Result or Strategy Applies to the Site (or Rationale if it does not apply)	'KBHLP Objective 4 – Green-up'. The proposed cutblock complies with Sections 64 and 65 of the FPPR.
Cultural Heritage Resources	
Result or Strategy Description	3.7 - Objectives set by Government for Cultural Heritage Resources
Applies:	YES
How the Result or Strategy Applies to the Site (or Rationale if it does not apply)	A referral letter dated August 15, 2018, was sent to the appropriate individual(s) and/or group(s). Cooper Creek Cedar Ltd did not receive any comments from First Nations identifying any concerns with the proposed development that had the potential of impacting cultural heritage values. No cultural heritage values were noted in this area.
Recreation Resources	
Result or Strategy Description	4.3 - Recreation Sites
Applies:	NO
How the Result or Strategy Applies to the Site (or Rationale if it does not apply)	The proposed cutblock is not located within a designated Recreational Area or Trail with legal objectives; therefore, managing for Recreation Resources is not applicable.
Riparian Management	
Result or Strategy Description	3.4.1 Objectives set by Government for Fish, Water, Wildlife & Biodiversity in Riparian Areas
Applies:	NO
How the Result or Strategy Applies to the Site (or Rationale if it does not apply)	1) There are two NCD channels located in the north, central part of the harvest area. 2) See Section E.1 for Riparian Management Strategies. 3) See Section F for management strategies related to Temporary Access Structures and Soil Disturbance that should be used during and post-harvest in order to prevent/reduce soil disturbance and sediment delivery.
Soil Objectives	
Result or Strategy Description	3.1 - Objectives set by Government for Soils [FPPR Section 5 and 12.1(1)]
Applies:	YES
How the Result or Strategy Applies to the Site (or Rationale if it does not apply)	1) SU A contains sensitive soils and soil disturbance will not exceed 5% . SU B contains non-sensitive soils and soil disturbance will not exceed 10% . Specific measures for mitigating soil disturbance levels are addressed in Section F of this Site Plan. 2) Areas of the block where temporary access structures are required will be rehabilitated. Specific rehabilitation measures are addressed under Section F of this Site Plan. 3) PAS will not exceed the recommended limit of 7.0% and is estimated at 4.3% . 4) Areas within the block assigned to roadside work areas will not exceed 25% .
Visual Objectives	
Result or Strategy Description	3.6 – Visual Quality
Applies:	YES
How the Result or Strategy Applies to the Site (or Rationale if it does not apply)	A Visual Impact Assessment was completed for CP 409, 412 and 414 by Timberland Consultants in May 2019. Portions of two cutblocks (CP412-15 and 409-4) fall within polygons with a VQO of Modification and the remaining cutblocks fall within area that is not visually sensitive. The proposed development of this CP meets the established VQO of M from the selected viewpoints.
Water Management Objectives	
Result or Strategy Description	3.4.4 - Consumptive Use Streams
Applies:	NO
How the Result or Strategy Applies to the Site (or Rationale if it does not apply)	'KBHLP Objective 6' – Block 16 is located within the Meadow Creek watershed. Matt Creek is located >700m to the east of the block. Matt Creek is a lower order stream that flows into Meadow Creek; therefore the KBHLP streamside management zone does not apply. Meadow Creek has six domestic use POD's, the closest of which is >5.5km from Block 16 . Referral letters were sent April 1, 2019 and comments were received. The distance between the block and POD's will help avoid of minimize disturbance to water quality.
Wildlife Objectives	
Result or Strategy Description	3.3.1 - Objectives set by Government for Wildlife - Species at Risk – Section 7 of the FPPR
Applies:	NO
How the Result or Strategy Applies to the Site (or Rationale if it does not apply)	The block is not within a Wildlife Habitat Area. There were no sightings of Species at Risk during field development of this cutblock.
Result or Strategy Description	3.5.2 - Objectives set by Government for Wildlife and Biodiversity – Stand Level
Applies:	YES
How the Result or Strategy Applies to the Site (or Rationale if it does not apply)	Two Wildlife Tree Retention Areas are planned for this block, totalling 4.3 ha . Overall wildlife tree retention percentage for block 16 is approximately 23.4% . Total WTRA for CP409 is 9.0ha which constitutes approximately 11.0% of the gross area of the permit. The WTRA area meets the minimum percent requirements stated in the FSP for each block (3.5%) and for the whole cutting permit (7%).
Result or Strategy Description	3.3.2 - Ungulates
Applies:	NO
How the Result or Strategy Applies to the Site (or Rationale if it does not apply)	Block 16 is outside designated Ungulate Winter Range

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

Consistency Statement
This block is consistent with the approved 2018 to 2023 Forest Stewardship Plan for Cooper Creek Cedar Ltd – Forest Licence A30171 . This Site Plan is prepared for FL A30171 CP 409 Block 16 , in accordance with FRPA Section 10(1), (2) & (3).
Community Watersheds
FSP Section 3.4.3 Not applicable - The proposed block is not located within a Community Watershed.
Enhanced Resource Development Zones
FSP Section 3.2.1 'KBHLP Objective 7 – Enhanced Resource Development Zones – Timber' Block 16 is not within an Enhanced Resource Development Zone.
Fire Maintained Ecosystems
FSP Section 3.5.3 'KBHLP Objective 8 – Fire maintained Ecosystems'. There are no NDT 4 ecosystems in the FDUs under this FSP; therefore the requirement to create a Result/Strategy for this objective does not apply.
Fisheries Sensitive Watersheds
FSP Section 3.4.2 At the time the FSP was developed there were no designated “Fisheries Sensitive Watersheds” in FDUs under this FSP, therefore the requirement to create a Result/Strategy for this objective does not apply.
Invasive Plants
FSP Section 4.1 – Invasive Plants The IAPP website was checked on January, 7, 2019. The following invasive species were reported in nearby areas to CP 409 Deception : Canada thistle, Chicory, Common tansy, Orange hawkweed, Yellow hawkweed, Hawkweed species, Oxeye daisy, St. John’s wort, and Spotted knapweed. Measures to prevent the introduction or spread of invasive plants noted in the FSP include: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Cleaning equipment before moving from a worksite with existing infestations to a new work site.• Minimizing soil disturbance during primary forest activities (PFA).• Reseed exposed mineral soil, resulting from a PFA in the first available fall or spring within 12 months following the soil disturbance. Plan planting of cutblocks as soon after harvesting as possible.• During PFAs minimize soil disturbance by:<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Harvest on a snowpack, when feasible• Random skid to designated skid trails to minimize skidder traffic on the ground• Utilize benches for skid trails to minimize side cuts• Utilize brush to construct skid trails to reduce contact with the ground• Use overhead cable harvesting systems on steep ground• Where grass seeding is undertaken, CCC will use certified grass seed (Canada common #1 or better grade) from reputable suppliers to ensure premium quality free of invasive plant seed, or a seed mix recommended by a MFLNRO range specialist.• See FSP for additional strategies and practices regarding invasive plants.
Natural Range Barriers
FSP Section – 4.2 Not applicable. There are no range tenures located in the FDUs covered by this FSP.
Timber
FSP Section 3.2 - Timber As per Sec 12(8) of the FPPR, results or strategies are not required for an objective set by government for timber.
Wildlife - Caribou
FSP Section – 3.3 and 3.5.3 'KBHLP Objective 3 – Caribou' was cancelled and replaced by <i>GAR Order #U-4-012 – Mountain Caribou – Southwest Kootenay Planning Unit</i> . This block does not fall within a Caribou Management Zone. This block falls within the Southern Mountain Caribou Matrix Range and Beneficial Management Practices (BMPs) for operating in woodland caribou habitat have been applied. BMPs include: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• 'Habitat connectivity - Design blocks to minimize fragmentation'. Blocks have been grouped into clusters leaving retention patches anchored to creeks and NCDs.• 'Utilize existing access roads'. New road construction has been reduced by using existing roads.• Caribou Sign and Sightings - Staff and contractors are to report any sightings or sign to supervisor.• Silviculture treatments will not result in the conversion of forest cover to pure spruce stands. The intent is to have silviculture practices result in a species composition that existed prior to forest harvest. A mixed species composition, similar to the preharvest stand, will be planted.
Wildlife – Grizzly Bear Habitat - Connectivity
FSP Section 3.3 and 3.5.3 'KBHLP Objective 5 – Grizzly Bear Habitat & Connectivity Corridors'. Not applicable to the FDU which includes this block. Block 16 falls within Connectivity Corridor area. Applicable targets for Old and Mature forest will be met following harvest.

STOCKING REQUIREMENTS

SU	NAR (ha)	Standards ID #	Other Performance Standards
A	11.0	1057900	See Section H - Stocking Requirements
B	2.3	1057894	

C. MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES & STRATEGIES

C.1 MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Objectives for CP 409 Block 16 protecting nearby streams, maintaining water quality, and maintaining rich biodiversity and wildlife values: All of these objectives are carefully considered, and a balance between all management objectives is the goal.Harvest this mature stand of CwHw (Sx) for sawlogs, chips and value-added products and manage for a healthy, free growing stand of planted and natural CwHwSx(FdLwPw) for similar end products.Wildlife Tree Retention Area (WTRA): Two group reserves are planned for retention, totalling 4.3 ha in size (23.4% of the block). The reserves shelter mature stand values, wildlife values, and portions of stand structure that are similar to the harvest area.Kootenay Boundary Land Use Plan – Implementation Strategy (June 1997): This block is located within the designated Landscape Unit K17 (Goat Range) – High BEO Assignment.
C.2 CONDITIONS THAT MUST EXIST AFTER HARVEST OR TREATMENT TO ACCOMMODATE KNOWN FOREST RESOURCES
C.2a WILDLIFE
Stand Level attributes/ concerns identified:
Ungulate Winter Range: Block 16 is outside designated ungulate winter range
Migratory Bird Habitat Assessment: Block 16 is within Migratory Bird Risk Rating 5 polygon (Age Class derived from cruise data 7, 8 & Height class 4 – ICH: CH - (Cw (HwSx)). The management matrix therefore requires: 1) The entire Site must be scheduled for harvest outside Restricted Period 1 (April 23 – August 1) , OR 2) Two or more BMP's with DoP rank 2 (moderate) or DoP rank 3 (high) must be selected from the list of BMPs and applied to the Site. BMP's PL2 and LO4 have been implemented on site to reduce the likelihood of incidental take and to conform to CCC's adopted management strategy. PL2 refers to the implementation of a patch/edge retention system around biodiversity anchors encompassed in WTRA-1 and 2. LO4 refers to the retention of individual trees or snags suitable for cavity nesting. Snags <5m tall that will be retained in SU A and B where operationally feasible. Snags with evidence of wildlife use are preferred. BMP PL3 and SO4 have been implemented as well. PL3 refers to the appropriate training of forest planners, layout personnel, and forest workers. SO4 refers to operation specific recommended practices when chance encounters of active nests occur.
Stand/Site Attributes: Slope values are low to moderate in most of the block. Middle to lower elevation ICH wk1 site conditions. Aspect is mainly northeast facing, with short and long broken, discontinuous slopes. Middle slope location. Vegetation cover is low to moderate over most of the unit. Existing coarse woody debris levels are low (<5-15%) (15-100cm diameter) in most areas. SU A: stand type by density is: Cw ₇ Hw ₃ (Sx) with 400-600 stems/ha. SU B: stand type by density is: Hw ₇ Cw ₃ with 300-500 stems/ha. Average stand density (all species) is 560 stems/ha. Most stems fall within the 20-60cm DBH classes, with <6% of stems in the 65-125cm DBH classes. Approximate species densities are Cw 395 stems/ha, Hw 150 stems/ha, and Sx 15 stems/ha. Stand age ranges from 50-225 with an average of 138 years old. The understory contains low - moderate densities of Hw and Cw regen, saplings and poles that are mainly in poor (suppressed) condition unless in small canopy openings. Forest cover adjacent to the block includes historic clearcut harvesting to the northeast and southwest, and historic selective harvesting to the northwest and southeast.
Actions prescribed:
Total Area specified for the retention of wildlife trees: 4.3 ha (23.4% of gross area)
Wildlife Tree Retention Patch (WTRA): WTRA-1 (0.3ha) Hw6Cw4: This WTRA is located in the east (lower) part of the block. It contains areas of historic selective logging. Density is 300 sph; Height range is 15 - 30m; DBH range is 30 – 50cm; Age class is 5 - 7. Slope values are moderate to steep, and crown closure is 40%. Values within the area include moderate vegetation cover including devil's club, maple, alder, yew and bracken fern, moderate, well decayed CWD cover, and Hw, Cw vet wildlife trees. WTRA-2 (4.0ha) Hw5 Cw5: This WTRA is located in the west end of the block and contains similar stand structure to the adjacent harvest area. The area shelters a stand of older trees with high wildlife values. Density is 500sph; Height range is 27-32m tall; Age class is 9; and crown closure is 50%. WTRA's will provide stand structure values for wildlife, perching, forage and cover values. Coarse woody debris values will also be created over time from dead and fallen stems. WTRAs have been established in part, as a best management practice for the reduction of migratory bird incidental take (BMP PL2, LO2) Snags Retain safe snags <5m tall where operationally feasible. Snags with evidence of wildlife use are preferred.
C.2c FISHERIES
There are no fish streams within or directly adjacent to the block. See Section E.1 for Riparian Management Strategies. Drainage from the majority of the cutblock area flows down slope to the East, towards Matt Creek.
C.2d WATERSHEDS
See RESULTS and STRATEGIES (3.4.4 – Consumptive Use Streams).
C.2e RECREATION
Not applicable. The proposed cutblock is not located within a designated Recreational Area or Trail with legal objectives.
C.2f BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY
Landscape Unit - K17 (Goat Range): High BEO Assignment.
C.2g VISUAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT
See RESULTS and STRATEGIES - 3.6 – Visual Quality
C.2h CULTURAL HERITAGE
See RESULTS and STRATEGIES – (3.7 - Objectives set by Government for Cultural Heritage Resources) See Section E.5 for Archaeological information

C.2i RANGE
Not applicable. There are no range tenures located in the FDUs covered by this FSP.
C.2j OTHER RESOURCES
Trapping / Guiding: Trappers or guiding license holders in the area will be identified and notified through the Forest Stewardship Planning process.
Windthrow: Windthrow hazard is Moderate for adjacent mature stands and Low for adjacent immature stands. These stands have already been partially exposed to winds from past harvesting, roads and natural openings. Soils are fine and moderately well drained with 28-30 cm rooting depths.
CONDITIONS NOT APPLICABLE TO THIS SITE PLAN
THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS WERE CONSIDERED, AND FOUND NOT TO BE APPLICABLE TO THIS SITE PLAN: None identified.

D. ECOLOGICAL INFORMATION AND SITE CHARACTERISTICS

D.1 STANDARDS UNITS AND CRITICAL SITE CONDITIONS						
BIOGEOCLIMATIC						
SU	TREATMENT UNIT	ZONE	SUBZONE	VARIANT & PHASE	SITE SERIES	SITE TYPE
A	1	ICH	wk	1	05_01_2	-
B	1	ICH	wk	1	01	-

E. MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES

E.1 RIPARIAN MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES				
RIPARIAN RESERVE ZONE (RRZ)				
RIPARIAN/ LAKE ID	RIPARIAN/ LAKE CLASS	HARVESTING Y/N	SU XREF	DESCRIPTION OF THE PURPOSE AND EXTENT OF REMOVAL OR MODIFICATION OF TREES AND ANY RELATED FOREST PRACTICES IN RIPARIAN RESERVE ZONE(S)
N/A	-	-	-	-
RIPARIAN MANAGEMENT ZONE (RMZ)				
RIPARIAN/ LAKE ID	HARVESTING Y/N	SU XREF	MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES FOR RIPARIAN OR LAKESHORE MANAGEMENT AREAS INCLUDING PROTECTING STREAM BANKS (if there is no RRZ), MAINTAINING SHADE, AND DEBRIS MANAGEMENT. IF FELLING AND/OR YARDING ACROSS STREAMS. INCLUDE EITHER THE RESIDUAL BASAL AREA <u>OR</u> DENSITY FOR RMZ (S) AND LMZ (S).	
N/A	-	-	-	
NON-CLASSIFIED (NC) RIPARIAN AREAS				
RIPARIAN/ LAKE ID	SU XREF	MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES		
NCD-16-1, 2	A	(See management strategies below)		
<div>1) Fall and skid timber away from riparian features (streams, NCD's, wetland) where practicable. Any debris entering a riparian feature as a result of harvesting will be removed upon completion of harvesting activities unless it does not obstruct water flow or its removal would cause further damage to the riparian feature. Minimize crossings on watercourse channels to the extent possible.</div> <div>2) Where watercourses are crossed more than once, it is recommended to place a temporary skid bridge (e.g.: logs placed in draw) in order to prevent a potential diversion of flow.</div> <div>3) All machine trails and crossings should be fully rehabilitated upon the completion of harvesting or prior to next freshet. Excess material that could cause redirection of natural drainage patterns should not be left at crossing locations.</div> <div>4) All surface drainage patterns should be maintained and any that are disrupted as a result of harvesting operations should be restored immediately.</div> <div>5) A post-harvest inspection should be completed to assess the amount of logging debris/excess soil within the wetted perimeter of all subtle drainage features. All natural drainage patterns should be maintained and left free of excess debris (slash or soil) that could result in a redirection of seasonal surface runoff/drainage diversion.</div> <div>6) Basal area retention levels for the in-block RMZ's (within the NAR) are based on windthrow, windfirmness, wildlife habitat, water quality, and operational constraints.</div>				

E.2 FOREST HEALTH MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES					
SU	Code	Nelson Region DRA Risk Factors	Points	Relative Risk	Comments
A,B	DRA	Site factors	8	H	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Armillaria is absent or present at low levels within the stand.• The Nomographic Zones in Section 3.0 of the “Armillaria Root Disease Management Guidelines for the Nelson Forest Region” (June 1998) indicate that Alternative or Intensive deferred treatments for root disease management are appropriate for this site should Armillaria become a problem.
		Host factors	3-5	L-M	
		Inoculum potential	0	L	
		Disease factors	0-5	L	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Alternative treatments will include planting a species mixture that includes species tolerant and /or moderately susceptible to Armillaria (e.g.: Lw, Cw, Pw). Fd (highly susceptible) may be included but should be limited to a maximum of 50% of the mix. Microsite selection should reflect buffer zones around infected stumps, if they can be identified.• Hand-pulling (preferred) or pop-up spacing (alternative) should be considered in the future should Armillaria become a limiting factor in meeting regeneration or free growing requirements (see section H).
			11-18	L-M	
Other Forest Health Factors					
SU	Code	%	Comments		Current risk to inventory
A, B	IWS	0	If Sx is planted in the post-harvest stand, monitor for white pine (spruce) weevil during silviculture surveys.		nil
A, B	DSB	0	Pw is absent or present in minor amounts in the current stand. A small amount of Pw may be planted in SU A and B. Plant only rust resistant stock. Expect high incidence of white pine blister rust on any naturally regenerated Pw.		nil
Expected future risks and actions					
A forest health/pest incidence assessment is not required. Forest health information was collected during SP field data collection in October 2018 . Stand health risks in the future include a heavy snowpack on a cool aspect causing snowpress. Timber type of the pre-harvest stand by volume is Cw ₆₂ Hw ₃₃ Sx ₅					

E.3 VEGETATION MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES
LIVESTOCK TO BE USED FOR VEGETATION MANAGEMENT: YES: <input type="checkbox"/> NO: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<p>Current Brush Hazard: Low-moderate levels of brush inside most of the block with patches of moderate levels of brush in disturbed openings and old logging roads.</p> <p>Future Brush Hazard: Moderate to High. Mesic moisture regime, cool east-northeast aspect and established brush in disturbed areas. Potential competitor species exist outside the block and at block boundaries, and include alder, lady fern, devil's club, and maple.</p> <p>Brushing Methods: Should brushing become necessary, manual treatments are the preferred methods.</p> <p>Risks and Considerations: Woody brushing or stand tending treatments must be carefully assessed due to pathogen ability to colonize wounds on stocked trees that may be damaged by brushing treatments.</p> <p>Anticipated Timing: Treatment needs will be assessed through periodic walkthroughs and silviculture surveys. Treatment timing will be prescribed at the time of brush assessment.</p>
E.4 COARSE WOODY DEBRIS (CWD) MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES
Existing coarse woody debris levels are low (<5-15%) (15-100cm diameter) in most areas. Some areas contain older, well decayed debris from previous selective logging. The stand has a dead standing and down component. (FPPR Section 68): Manage for the minimum of 4 logs per hectare , each being at least 2 metres in length and at least 7.5cm in diameter at one end. See the SITE PREP section (K.1) for additional CWD management strategies.
E.5 ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT
Archaeological Overview Mapping of the CP 409 area shows that block 16 does not fall within a polygon that has a potential rating. An Archaeological Impact Assessment is not required.

F. SOIL CONSERVATION

F.1 SITE DISTURBANCE						
	HAZARD RATINGS			SOIL CHARACTERISTICS		
SU	SOIL COMPACTION	SOIL DISPLACEMENT	SURFACE SOIL EROSION	DEPTH TO UNFAVOURABLE SUBSOIL (cm)		TYPE OF UNFAVOURABLE SUBSOIL
				MIN(cm)	MAX(cm)	
A	High	High	Very High	60	60	None found to 60cm
B	High	Moderate	High	60	60	None found to 60cm

F.2 SOIL DISTURBANCE LIMITS	
<p>SU A: ARE THERE SENSITIVE SOILS? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO</p> <p>SU B: ARE THERE SENSITIVE SOILS? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO</p> <p>MAX. PROPORTION OF TOTAL AREA UNDER THE PRESCRIPTION ALLOWED FOR PERMANENT ACCESS STRUCTURES (PAS): 4.3%.</p> <p>Roadside harvesting or temporary landings will be used.</p> <p>DEACTIVATION OF PERMANENT ACCESS STRUCTURES: Any landings will be deactivated – debris will be piled & burned, water control will be installed around all landings.</p>	

SU	MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE SOIL DISTURBANCE WITHIN THE NET AREA TO REFOREST (%)	MAXIMUM EXTENT SOIL DISTURBANCE LIMITS MAY BE TEMPORARILY EXCEEDED TO CONSTRUCT TEMPORARY ACCESS STRUCTURES OR EXCAVATED OR BLADED TRAILS (%)
A	5%	5%
B	10%	5%

MASD for Roadside Work Areas: 25%

Maximum soil disturbance limits may be exceeded for short periods of time; however any temporary access structures or excavated or bladed trails will be rehabilitated to the extent necessary to bring the SU net area back into compliance with the specified soil disturbance limits. See **Section F.4** below for description of temporary access structures or excavated or bladed trails, if any.

Avoid harvesting during spring freshet/breakup conditions when soils are moist to reduce soil displacement and compaction.

Steep slopes >35% are present in parts of SU A (ground based harvest methods) and are noted on the **Harvest Plan Map**. In SU A and B conventional harvest methods will be utilized.

F.3 REHABILITATION TIME FOR TEMPORARY ACCESS STRUCTURES	
MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE TIME TO COMPLETE REHAB (MEASURED FROM COMPLETION OF HARVEST): 1 YEAR	

F.4 MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES FOR TEMPORARY ACCESS STRUCTURES				
SU	GENERAL LOCATION:	MAX ALLOWABLE HEIGHT OF CUTBANKS (m)	AVERAGE HEIGHT OF CUTBANKS (m)	EQUIPMENT TO BE USED (IF OTHER THAN EXCAVATOR)
A,B	Blading or excavating is expected to occur in parts of the unit with moderate to steep slopes.	0.8	0.3	Skidder, cat.

Proposed Landings (temporary):

SU A: 1 landing @ 0.2 ha = **0.2 ha**

SU B: 1 landing @ 0.2 ha = **0.2 ha**

- SU A: Roadside harvest with landings. Favorable and adverse skidding**
- SU B: Roadside harvest with landings. Adverse skidding up to spur 17-2.**
- See Section E.1 for stream management strategies.**

The following will apply for any excavated/bladed trails that are required:

- Maximum trail width is 4m.
- Actual dimensions of bladed trails may vary depending on topography.
- The amount of bladed trail constructed will be kept to a minimum.

Short sections that become bladed trails where a non-bladed trail crosses a hump or ridge will be exempt from rehabilitation requirements provided that the soil disturbance limits in this SP are not exceeded.

Rehabilitation for bladed or excavated trails:

Any bladed or excavated trails will be rehabilitated as follows:

- De-compact the trail, including removing woody debris that is conducting subsurface moisture
- Place fill material that was sidecast on the excavated portion of the trail
- Re-contour the slope
- Re-establish natural surface drainage
- Place some woody debris over exposed mineral soil

G. SILVICULTURAL SYSTEMS

SILVICULTURAL SYSTEMS	
SU	SYSTEM / VARIANT / PHASE
A, B	Clear-cut silviculture system.
SU	STAND STRUCTURE AND SITE CONDITION - COMMENTS
A,B	Post-harvest stand structure will be even-aged with one age class. Planted trees and natural regeneration will include Fd Cw Lw Sx Hw (BI Pw) . <u>1 Wildlife Tree Group Reserve Area (WTRA):</u> totalling 4.3ha <u>Leave Trees:</u> No mature leave trees are prescribed for this block. <u>Snags</u> Retain safe snags <5m tall where operationally feasible. Snags with evidence of wildlife use are preferred.

H. STOCKING REQUIREMENTS (As per DSE South Columbia Default Stocking Standards Version 1.0, April 1, 2018)

LICENCE #	CP	BLOCK	OPENING NUMBER	LOCATION
A30171	409	16	82K035	Deception Creek

H1 ECOLOGICAL INFORMATION										
SU	Net Area (ha)	Zone	Subzone	Variant/Phase	Site Series (complex - %)	Elevation			Slope position	Soil Texture (0-30cm)
						Min	Max	Avg		
A	11.0	ICH	wk	1	05 ₈ 01 ₂	925	1070	1000	Mid-slope	SiL
B	2.3	ICH	wk	1	01	925	975	950	Mid-slope	SiL
RATIONALE FOR STOCKING STANDARD FSP ID SELECTION										
STANDARDS UNIT FSP ID #		CHANGE FROM STANDARD PRACTICE				COMMENT: (For example: Forest health (DRA) or Rocky site)				
1057900		N/A				05 leading – Site series complex.				
1057894		N/A								

I. ADMINISTRATION

RPF SIGNATURE AND SEAL:	
<div><div></div><div>RPF Name (Printed) <i>I certify that I have reviewed this document and, while I did not personally supervise the work described, I have determined that this work has been done to the standards expected of a member of the Association of British Columbia Forest Professionals.</i></div><div>Date: _____ RPF #: _____</div></div>	<div></div> <div>RPF Signature and Seal</div>
<div>SITE PLAN PREPARED BY: Tom Haukaas, RFT</div>	MAJOR LICENSEE SIGNING AUTHORITY:
<div>SITE PLAN ATTACHMENTS:</div> <div><div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SP MAP(S)</div><div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ARCHAEOLOGICAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT</div><div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TERRAIN STABILITY FIELD ASSESSMENT</div><div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> VISUAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT</div><div><input type="checkbox"/> RIPARIAN ASSESSMENT</div><div><input type="checkbox"/> FOREST HEALTH / PEST INCIDENCE ASSESSMENT</div><div><input type="checkbox"/> SOIL CONSERVATION TABLE</div><div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER: <u>REFORESTATION PRESCRIPTION</u></div><div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OTHER: <u>ARMILLARIA RISK ASSESSMENT MATRIX</u></div></div>	

	Standards Units					
	A	B			TOTAL HA	%
					18.4	
Compaction	High	High				
Soil Displacement	High (16)	Moderate (7)				
Surface Erosion	Very High (34)	High (29)				
Forest Floor Displacement	High (17)	Low (6)				
Mass Wasting	High (42)	Moderate (28)				
Harvest System	Ground Based	Ground Based				
TOTAL AREA	15.6	2.8			18.4	
Wildlife Tree Patches / NP Nat						% WTP
WTRA	4.0	0.3			4.3	23.4
Permanent Access Structures						% Disturbance
Proposed roads	0.1	0.2			0.3	1.6
Existing roads	0.5	-	-		0.5	2.7
Landings	-	-	-		-	-
Total disturbance permanent access structures	0.6	0.2			0.8	4.3
NET AREA TO BE REFORESTED	11.0	2.3			13.3	
Sensitive Soils (Y/N)	Yes	No				
Temporary Access Structures: Road, landing, excavated or bladed trails that will be rehabilitated (% of NAR).	5% (excavated/bladed trails)	5% (excavated/bladed trails)				
Max. Allowable dispersed Soil Disturbance (% of NAR by Standards Unit) as a result of harvesting, mechanical site preparation, or hazard abatement activities.	5%	10%				
Comments: Landings within the NAR are temporary and will be fully rehabilitated.						
Rehabilitation/Deactivation measures:						
All landings and trails within the NAR are temporary and will be rehabilitated by decompacting, re-contouring, surface restoration, followed by planting.						
Landings will be deactivated as per the following: Minimize runoff flowing onto the landing and minimize erosion of the landing fill material by incorporating appropriate drainage systems. If required, carry out measures to ensure that the landing is stable, such as decompaction, re-contouring, and grass seeding.						
Proposed Roads (permanent):						
SU A: Spur 17-1 = 54m x 10m = 0.05ha						
Spur 17-2 = 67m x 10m = 0.07ha						
SU B: Spur 17-2 = 211m x 10m = 0.21ha						
Existing Roads (permanent):						
SU A: No name 1 = 174m x 10m = 0.17ha						
No name 2 = 296m x 10m = 0.30ha						
Total PAS = 0.8ha						
Proposed Landings: 1 landing utilizing existing access structures, 1 landing utilizing new access structures.						
See Section F.4 for discussion of rehabilitation of excavated/bladed trails.						